

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )

4 Plaintiff, )

5 v. )

6 PIROUZ SEDAGHATY, et al., )

7 Defendants. )

) No. 05-60008-2-HO

) April 1, 2010

) Eugene, Oregon

8  
9 TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL ARGUMENT

10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL R. HOGAN

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

12  
13 -:-  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 Deborah Wilhelm, CSR, RPR

24 Court Reporter

25 P.O. Box 1504

Eugene, OR 97440

(541) 431-4113

## APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CHRISTOPHER L. CARDANI  
United States Attorney's Office  
405 E. 8th Avenue, Suite 2400  
Eugene, OR 97401  
(541) 465-6771  
chris.cardani@usdoj.gov

CHARLES F. GORDER, JR.  
United States Attorney's Office  
1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600  
Portland, OR 97204-2902  
(503) 727-1021

FOR THE DEFENDANT: LAWRENCE H. MATASAR  
Lawrence Matasar, P.C.  
621 S.W. Morrison Street  
Suite 1025  
Portland, OR 97205  
(503) 222-9830  
larry@pdxlaw.com

STEVEN T. WAX  
Federal Public Defender  
101 S.W. Main Street, Suite 1700  
Portland, OR 97204  
(503) 326-2123  
steve\_wax@fd.org

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

<u>INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS</u>				
<u>FOR THE PLAINTIFF:</u>	<u>Direct</u>	<u>Cross</u>	<u>ReD</u>	<u>ReX</u>
Colleen Anderson	21	22	--	--

1 (Thursday, April 1, 2010; 9:54 a.m.)

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3 THE CLERK: Now is the time set for the matter  
4 of the *United States of America versus Pirouz Sedaghaty*,  
5 Case Number 05-60008, time set for oral argument on  
6 Motion Number 181 to suppress evidence, and Motion  
7 Number 205 for additional specification of basis for  
8 suppression based on dissemination of information to  
9 Russian FSB and request for discovery.

10 MR. GORDER: Good morning, Charles Gorder for  
11 the United States with Chris Cardani.

12 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. I just put  
13 a new hearing aid battery in. That does not mean I'm  
14 seeking long arguments.

15 I read the supplemental memorandums just filed  
16 by the defendant this morning. But, actually, I had  
17 the -- Judge Walker's opinion last night.

18 MR. CARDANI: Good morning, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Good morning.

20 MR. CARDANI: I think we need some direction  
21 from the court in terms of what the court's expectations  
22 are for today's hearing. By listening to the docket and  
23 our understanding, what this is is a continuation of a  
24 lengthy hearing we had last year. And that was when  
25 Colleen Anderson testified at some length about the

1 conditions in which the search warrant was obtained, the  
2 warrant was executed, the computers were taken, and  
3 subsequently analyzed.

4           Extensive cross-examination was done. And  
5 there came a point where the defense tried to ask  
6 questions that would have gotten into some classified  
7 information. We objected because the setting was  
8 inappropriate. And I think it was on that basis that  
9 the court did not conclude the hearing and issue its  
10 opinion. But I think that we had fully resolved all of  
11 the garden-variety issues that we needed to in that  
12 hearing.

13           After that, the case of CDT was decided by the  
14 Ninth Circuit. So that led to a series of briefings,  
15 and we have fully briefed that.

16           And the defense filed a supplemental motion  
17 alleging that Colleen Anderson presented inconsistent --  
18 presented false testimony, in essence, during the  
19 suppression hearing. We responded to that.

20           She did another affidavit that we're going to  
21 tender to the court right now. But I think that -- and  
22 there were a few other matters addressed in that. But  
23 in terms of the motion to suppress itself, CR 181, that  
24 has led to a series of subsequent motions and responses,  
25 I think we've largely had the testimony that the court

1 needs to make its decision.

2 But if the court needs to -- we don't think  
3 that a classified hearing is necessary. But, again, if  
4 the court thinks that there is something that's not been  
5 covered that needs a classified hearing, then we can do  
6 our best to get through that.

7 In addition, Mr. Wax sent me and Mr. Gorder an  
8 e-mail, I believe it was yesterday, asking us to have  
9 two other witnesses available. Mr. Smith from the IRS,  
10 and Mr. Christianson from the IRS. Those witnesses were  
11 not subpoenaed.

12 (Reporter interruption.)

13 MR. CARDANI: Judge, these two witnesses, there  
14 has been no real notice that we needed these witnesses  
15 here. We don't think that we need to present testimony  
16 on them, but they disagree. And if the court wants to  
17 hear their testimony, Mr. Christianson is on the East  
18 Coast. And nobody notified us that we needed to have  
19 him here. We could make arrangements for telephone  
20 testimony, if we needed to. We haven't prepared him for  
21 testimony. And then Mr. Smith is a local agent in Bend,  
22 but he's on a search warrant this morning doing  
23 current -- the computer work on a search warrant in  
24 Idaho. We contacted him last night, given this recent  
25 e-mail, and he could be available by phone this

1     afternoon. We haven't prepped him. But I don't want to  
2     run afoul of the court's desires here. If we -- we all  
3     would like to get this matter resolved, but we keep  
4     getting these requests which generate an inquiry on our  
5     part on how far do we need to go to get this matter  
6     concluded. We don't want to run afoul of the court's  
7     desires.

8             So we have Mister -- we have Agent Carroll here  
9     from Medford, if something comes up requiring his  
10    testimony. We have Agent Anderson to adopt her new  
11    affidavit.

12            THE COURT: No, go ahead.

13            MR. CARDANI: But in terms of the actual search  
14    warrant and the CDT implications, Judge, we think the  
15    briefing is done, and no real testimony is necessary.

16            Then there is another motion that's -- the only  
17    other motion that is formally up for grabs right now on  
18    the docket is the supplemental motion to suppress based  
19    on the information that was disclosed by the government  
20    to the Russians. That matter has been fully briefed and  
21    that's, I think, ready to be determined.

22            There have been a number of other things  
23    addressed to the court involving evidentiary issues for  
24    Saudi Arabia or for Egypt. Those matters have been  
25    briefed. We're here to answer questions on that. But

1 the basic inquiry we have for the court is what the  
2 court's desires are for today in terms of what it wants  
3 to get these matters resolved.

4 THE COURT: All right. I am going to hear from  
5 defense counsel about the request for a closed hearing,  
6 but in -- and I haven't reread the transcript of the  
7 hearing before. But as I've gone over this material  
8 again to get fresh on it, I am satisfied that I have a  
9 record adequate to make a decision. But there was one  
10 question I had that maybe you can remind me from the  
11 record or if you had something to supplement on this, I  
12 might give you the opportunity to do it, and that's the  
13 search term Timimi.

14 What -- Ms. Anderson did testify that none of  
15 the information she had came from classified sources.  
16 But I'd like you to remind me where that term came from,  
17 all right? And so that -- as we go through this hearing  
18 this morning, I'm interested in that.

19 Mr. Wax?

20 MR. WAX: Judge, unfortunately, there is one  
21 issue of fact with which we disagree with what  
22 Mr. Cardani just said.

23 THE COURT: All right.

24 MR. WAX: In the pleading that we filed on  
25 October 15th, we specifically stated that further



1 hearing is required in order to question Mr. Smith and  
2 Ms. Anderson about their knowledge regarding the  
3 chronology and extent of the computer review.

4           You may recall that at the hearing in July, we  
5 did not have available to us any reports from either  
6 Mr. Smith or Mr. Christianson. The government provided  
7 those reports from their computer people to us only  
8 after that portion of the hearing had been concluded.

9           Upon review of those reports and comparison of  
10 those reports with Ms. Anderson's testimony at the  
11 hearing in July, we filed the supplemental pleading in  
12 October pointing out what we believe to be  
13 inconsistencies, and the need for testimony. At that  
14 point, I identified Mr. Smith, I did not identify  
15 Mr. Christianson in that particular document. So I  
16 don't think that the government is fairly saying to the  
17 court they had no notice that at least Smith was not  
18 needed today.

19           THE COURT: That doesn't matter at this point.  
20 If you want to have questions and they're appropriate,  
21 we'll find a way for you to do that.

22           MR. WAX: Thank you.

23           THE COURT: No one is to blame.

24           MR. WAX: In terms of the question that you  
25 asked about search term, there is another name on the

1 list that we need to discuss. And I believe that if we  
2 start to discuss it, that will lead to the same response  
3 that came in July when the Timimi name was mentioned,  
4 which is that we can't have that discussion in open  
5 court. So my recall with respect to the Timimi question  
6 that you asked is that that was stopped because we were  
7 in open court. And there is one other name that we need  
8 to inquire about that will probably lead to the same  
9 result.

10 THE COURT: All right.

11 MR. CARDANI: Judge, I just want to make sure  
12 that you clearly understand that that search term list  
13 that we talked about in court wasn't an exclusive list  
14 to use to search the computers. I don't want that --  
15 there to be a false illusion that we limited it to that  
16 search list.

17 That was proffered to you as an indication that  
18 we reasonably attempted to confine the search of the  
19 computers --

20 THE COURT: I understand that.

21 MR. CARDANI: Okay.

22 THE COURT: I'm more interested in the source  
23 of it to Ms. Anderson.

24 MR. CARDANI: Okay. Very good.

25 THE COURT: And if we need to close the hearing

1 to do that, we can. That's really the only -- and if  
2 there is another name, fine. That's the one that I had  
3 a question about.

4 MR. CARDANI: Okay. I think Mr. Gorder is  
5 prepared to handle that.

6 MR. GORDER: Your Honor, with regard to the  
7 name Timimi, we have two points. One, we have pointed  
8 out time and time again that the defense has not pointed  
9 to a single government exhibit that we intend to  
10 introduce that has that term in it, which in a sense  
11 they're putting the horse before the -- cart before the  
12 horse.

13 None of the exhibits the government intends to  
14 introduce at trial that came from the computer has  
15 Mr. Timimi's name in it.

16 When Agent Carroll was testifying, he was asked  
17 why that term was in there. And he said he wasn't sure  
18 whether he could testify to that, whether it was  
19 classified or not.

20 We filed, after the hearing, and it's CR 206,  
21 some exhibits of declassified FISA intercepts between  
22 Mr. Timimi and Mr. al-Buthe. And you have those  
23 exhibits in the record. Those are declassified now.  
24 And they were intercepted pursuant to FISA, and were  
25 played at the trial of Mr. al-Timimi. To summarize

1     them, they were kind of high-fiving each other over the  
2     crash of the Space Shuttle Columbia back in 2003. And  
3     Mr. Timimi was writing a poem of some kind to glorify  
4     this destruction of the United States space shuttle, and  
5     he was running this poem by Mr. al-Buthe. That would be  
6     reason enough to look for the term al-Timimi in the  
7     computers.

8             But the major point is that there is no exhibit  
9     that we intend to introduce that comes from that search  
10    term.

11            THE COURT: Those exhibits now have been filed  
12    in our record?

13            MR. GORDER: Yes. They are CR 206.

14            THE COURT: All right.

15            MR. WAX: Your Honor, with all respect,  
16    Mr. Gorder's statement that they don't intend to offer  
17    at trial anything with Timimi's name misses the issue  
18    that is before the court today which is the *Murray*  
19    issue. And whether or not the government intends to  
20    offer anything with Timimi's name, and I will say these  
21    other names because they are on the list that is not  
22    classified, Sanad, and Enaam, and Abu Umar, the  
23    provenance of those names is critical to the *Murray*  
24    issue. And the information that we had previously  
25    provided to the court about the surveillance of

1 al-Haramain from the mid 1990s on, the unlawful  
2 surveillance of al-Haramain, is now buttressed by the  
3 opinion that came down yesterday from Judge Walker, and  
4 all of the exhibits, which we were finally able to get  
5 out last night, which are all of the exhibits that he  
6 referenced in his opinion. Now, it seems to us that you  
7 now have before you one judicial finding and clear  
8 evidence that there --

9 THE COURT: Just to -- I don't mean to  
10 interrupt -- well, I do mean to interrupt you, but it's  
11 just to tell you that I haven't bothered printing out  
12 800 pages of exhibits yet. Go ahead. So I haven't  
13 reviewed those. I haven't had time.

14 MR. WAX: Well, Judge Walker, as we saw it, did  
15 an excellent job of summarizing them, and we just wanted  
16 to complete the record by having those exhibits in front  
17 of you. But the point is that the record in this case  
18 shows now, including Judge Walker's finding, that there  
19 was a nationally coordinated attack on Islamic charities  
20 that was carried out through both lawful and unlawful  
21 means.

22 And there is evidence before you, including  
23 Judge Walker's finding, that that nationally coordinated  
24 attack included an attack on al-Haramain USA, which  
25 is -- the principal of which is our client, the

1 defendant in this case, Pirouz Sedaghaty.

2           The relevance of the questioning about Timimi  
3 and the questioning that we believe we need to pursue  
4 with respect to Enaam, Sanad, and Abu Umar, is to  
5 complete the loop of the involvement of the people  
6 outside of Oregon who were engaged in unlawful activity  
7 in, as Justice Scalia said, the decision to undertake  
8 this investigation that's the subject matter of these  
9 hearings and that led to this indictment. And it's not  
10 clear to me and we can ask the court security officer  
11 whether I can go into, you know, anything more about  
12 Sanad in this setting. But that name -- well --

13           THE COURT: We're not going to ask him in court  
14 right now.

15           MR. WAX: But there is another point to be  
16 made. May I consult with him for a moment?

17           THE COURT: You may.

18           MR. WAX: Thank you.

19           (Discussion held off the record.)

20           MR. WAX: You may recall, Your Honor, that  
21 there is in the unclassified record of the case a  
22 summary of what was formerly classified material in  
23 which the name Sanad appears. And if I am recalling the  
24 sequencing correctly, that unclassified summary  
25 paragraph was produced after your review of material in

1 Washington, D.C.

2 How that name gets on to this search term list  
3 we believe is critical to development of the *Murray*  
4 aspect of the case.

5 THE COURT: Mr. Wax, are you seeking a fishing  
6 expedition based on a negative pregnant?

7 MR. WAX: No, Your Honor. We believe that  
8 there is clear evidence in the record that -- it's not  
9 "we believe." There is clear evidence in the record  
10 that the codefendant in this case and his U.S. lawyer  
11 were the victims of unlawful government activity.

12 There is clear evidence in this case that  
13 al-Haramain USA, again of which Mr. Sedaghaty was  
14 principal here in Ashland, was the victim of unlawful  
15 activity. That's not a fishing expedition. That's  
16 evidence.

17 We know from the production of an unclassified  
18 summary that there is classified evidence directly  
19 relevant to this case and this prosecution in which the  
20 name Sanad appears.

21 In addition on this search term list is the  
22 name Abu Umar, which, if I'm recalling correctly, is  
23 also in that unclassified paragraph. Now, that's not a  
24 fishing expedition. Because you have before you Judge  
25 Walker's findings, which fully corroborate what we had

1 previously given to you in several forms, including the  
2 declaration of Colonel Patrick Lang, the former director  
3 of Human Intelligence for the U.S. Department of  
4 Defense, that the al-Haramain organization here in the  
5 U.S. was subjected to continuous surveillance, lawful  
6 and unlawful, for a prolonged period. This isn't a  
7 fishing expedition. This is as clear evidence of  
8 unlawful activity against our client as one could hope  
9 to find.

10 From that, we need to be able to ask the next  
11 question, we're not fishing, it's the logical next  
12 question.

13 MR. CARDANI: Judge, on the *Murray* issue, I  
14 think that there really are two issues on the *Murray*  
15 question. The one is the affidavit and the search  
16 warrant that were used to get the computers. That has  
17 been fully briefed by the government in CR 192. And I  
18 think that why this really is, with due respect to  
19 Mr. Wax, why this is a fishing expedition is because the  
20 two people critical to that warrant are right before you  
21 in the court today, her and me. She has already entered  
22 an affidavit saying that she knew nothing about this  
23 program, whatever it is, whatever became of it, she knew  
24 nothing about it.

25 I knew nothing about it. To this day other



1     than what I read in the newspaper and in opinions, I  
2     know nothing about it.

3             So in terms of obtaining the warrant, the  
4     warrant is pure. It is completely devoid of anything  
5     that spilled out of whatever program there was.

6             She has testified that there is an independent  
7     basis for everything in the affidavit used to get that  
8     warrant, including the computers.

9             I was the supervisor of this. I worked very  
10    closely with her. I knew nothing about it.

11            Now, and what -- the -- what *Murray* requires is  
12    a showing that even if something illegal did occur prior  
13    to a warrant, if there is no revelation of the products  
14    of that illegal surveillance, or if it wasn't done  
15    because of that illegal surveillance, then there is no  
16    problem with the warrant despite the previously illegal  
17    activity.

18            Second, I think that what we're getting to here  
19    is a *Murray* issue with respect to the actual search of  
20    the computers, and did some illegal program result in a  
21    phone call to Agent Anderson saying, "hey, check this  
22    out, check that out." This is a fishing expedition.

23            She was the one who did -- or controlled the  
24    search. The names that have been brought up today,  
25    Enaam, Sanad, Arnout, she can testify that there were

1 reasonable reasons that have nothing to do with some  
2 illegal -- alleged illegal program why those were  
3 relevant to this investigation.

4           She knew nothing about this program. And these  
5 were independent terms that she came up with from  
6 different sources, and she can tell you about that if  
7 you choose to hear it, that led to her searching these  
8 computers. This is a fishing expedition. And I just  
9 urge the court not to allow this to become a  
10 free-for-all, especially in a classified setting where  
11 we're under limitations on what we can produce. We have  
12 to screen questions through FBI sources because this is  
13 a big deal and classified information is only shared on  
14 a need-to-know basis.

15           I mean, there is nothing more than I would like  
16 but to open up the files of the U.S. Attorney's Office  
17 and let these guys look at every shred of paper in this  
18 thing, full, open discovery, and to prevent a morass of  
19 motions. But we can't do that here. We do it in a lot  
20 of our cases because, you know, it doesn't involve  
21 classified evidence. But the rules are different in a  
22 case involving national security and classified  
23 evidence. That's why you have all of these FISA -- all  
24 of these CIPA pleadings that have been filed and why  
25 we're forced to play it a little closer to the vest.

1 But she can testify, if you want to hear about those  
2 search terms. And I think this will reveal it, for what  
3 the court has said, a fishing expedition.

4 THE COURT: Well, I probably do want to hear  
5 that. If you have something more to say, fine. I'm  
6 going to take a short recess. If you want to speak  
7 before that, that's fine.

8 MR. WAX: Thank you, Judge. I'll be brief.  
9 For Mr. Cardani to say that he and Ms. Anderson knew  
10 nothing about the TSP or PSP is not the relevant  
11 question. What you have in front of you is the U.S.  
12 government's acknowledgement that there was a  
13 coordinated attack on Islamic charities, focused on  
14 al-Haramain. You have before you the fact that this  
15 investigation began -- and we gave you the first FBI 302  
16 report with the case number of the 9/11 investigation.  
17 We have Ms. Anderson admitting that there was  
18 coordination with OFAC. We have Director Szubin's  
19 declaration that OFAC is coordinating here.

20 The question is: Did someone in Washington,  
21 D.C. as part of this coordinated effort with respect to  
22 Islamic charities prompt -- that's all that is required  
23 under *Murray* -- did they -- did that prompt the decision  
24 to proceed with this search warrant? And whether  
25 Mr. Cardani and Ms. Anderson knew why there were -- they

1 were being prompted is irrelevant. If they were pawns  
2 in someone else's game, that's irrelevant. If the U.S.  
3 government was making the decision at whatever level, go  
4 forth, guys, that's all we need to prove under *Murray*.

5 THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a short break.

6 (Recess: 10:20 until 10:23 a.m.)

7 THE COURT: Let's swear Ms. Anderson. Please,  
8 Counsel, don't go over what she has already testified  
9 about. All right.

10 THE CLERK: Ms. Anderson, please step forward.

11 (The witness was sworn.)

12 THE CLERK: Please take the witness stand.

13 Agent, can I have you please state your full name for  
14 the record, spelling your last name.

15 THE WITNESS: Sure. Colleen Anderson,  
16 A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N.

17 THE CLERK: Thank you.

18 MR. GORDER: Your Honor, is it your intention  
19 just to have her testify about the three search terms I  
20 mentioned?

21 THE COURT: Yeah, actually, there are four:  
22 Timimi, Enaam, Abu Umar, and Samon.

23 MR. WAX: S-A-N-A-D, Sanad.

24 THE COURT: Yeah, thank you. I heard it and I  
25 didn't look it up, Sanad.

1 MR. GORDER: Your Honor, with regard to the  
2 Sanad term, we may have some problems doing that in an  
3 open session. What is declassified and provided to the  
4 defense should be good enough, but I just want --

5 THE COURT: Go ahead with the other three then.

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. GORDER:

8 Q. Okay. Ms. Anderson, in your list of partial  
9 search terms, there is the name Enaam. And I may be  
10 mispronouncing that. Do you recall, from unclassified  
11 sources, why that name was relevant to you?

12 A. Yes. Enaam, with the last of Arnout, was an  
13 individual that was under investigation for the -- what  
14 we call the BIF for gift, Benevolence International  
15 Foundation, I believe. He had been charged, I believe,  
16 with using charitable funds to purchase boots for the  
17 mujahideen. I believe he pled guilty. I'm not sure  
18 exactly what he pled guilty to. But there was an IRS  
19 agent on that case, and I cannot recall specifically if  
20 the IRS agent on that case told me that there might be a  
21 connection or if more than likely when I run the search  
22 name Chechnya, there was an Enaam Arnout letter found in  
23 the al-Haramain computers where someone within  
24 al-Haramain was attempting to contact him for  
25 information on how to get money or supplies and that

1 kind of thing into Chechnya.

2 Q. Okay. With regard to Timimi, do you recall at  
3 this point how that term got on the list?

4 A. I'm sorry, what I recall with Timimi was that  
5 he was also under investigation. And I can't remember  
6 if it was the Virginia jihad, exactly who he was tied  
7 to, but he was under investigation also. And my  
8 understanding was that he had ties to al-Haramain and/or  
9 the defendant.

10 Q. And, finally, Abu Umar, what did you know about  
11 him or what do you know about him?

12 A. Well, that would be Abu Umar al-Saif. And I  
13 learned about him from our terrorism consultant, Evan  
14 Kohlmann, who specializes in Chechnya. And my  
15 understanding is Abu Umar al-Saif is the -- basically  
16 the Chechnyan religious leader for the mujahideen. He  
17 was with them fighting in Chechnya, and he blessed them,  
18 and was their -- basically the religious leader that  
19 they looked up to, the mujahideen.

20 MR. GORDER: No further questions, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Cross.

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. WAX:

24 Q. Ms. Anderson, when did you learn the name  
25 Enaam?

1           A.       Enaam, like I said, I'm not sure if I first  
2       learned of the name from the IRS agent working the case.  
3       Enaam Arnout, I believe, was one of the first cases I  
4       was aware of where an IRS agent was assisting in the  
5       investigation. So I may have learned it from her or I  
6       may have learned it from the search on the computer in  
7       which, again, if you take a look at the letter that went  
8       to Enaam Arnout from al-Haramain here in Ashland,  
9       Chechnya is on it, there is other search terms that came  
10      up.

11       Q.       Ms. Anderson, at the hearing last July, the  
12      court directed that we be provided copies of any notes  
13      that you had taken during any of your work on the  
14      computer searches. Did you take any notes at any time  
15      during your work with either Richard Smith, Jeremy  
16      Christianson, or any other computer person with whom you  
17      worked on this case?

18       A.       I don't recall taking any notes.  
19      Mainly when -- when dealing with my computer people, I  
20      request them to do things either verbally or via e-mail,  
21      and then they conduct the search, and they let me know  
22      what the results are.

23       Q.       Mr. Smith's report, which was made available to  
24      us after your testimony, states that he and you  
25      interacted on numerous occasions in the spring and

1 summer of 2004, and that he was in your Medford office  
2 on a number of occasions working directly with you and  
3 showing you how to do things. You took no notes at any  
4 time?

5 MR. GORDER: Your Honor, what does this have to  
6 do with the three search terms that she testified about?

7 MR. WAX: If there are notes, perhaps they  
8 would refresh her recollection since she has told us she  
9 does not have a specific recollection.

10 THE COURT: I think she's already answered the  
11 question.

12 BY MR. WAX:

13 Q. Did you make any notes on your computer as  
14 opposed to handwritten notes?

15 MR. GORDER: Same objection, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Go ahead and answer.

17 THE WITNESS: The only notes -- maybe I  
18 misunderstood the question, but the only notes that I  
19 can actually recall making was when Rick had come and  
20 trained me on the ILook program, and then I'd have to  
21 make notes as to how, you know, I get into the program  
22 and that kind of thing, but I didn't keep those notes  
23 because then we switched programs, and I think at some  
24 point I was using FTK and that kind of thing.

25 BY MR. WAX:



1           Q.       But no notes of any sort when -- if you learned  
2 of the name Enaam from another IRS agent, you didn't jot  
3 anything down?

4           A.       I didn't jot anything down? If I did, I didn't  
5 keep them because it -- that doesn't -- I don't recall  
6 that.

7                   MR. WAX: May I have a moment, please, Your  
8 Honor?

9                   THE COURT: Yes.

10                   (Discussion held off the record between  
11 co-counsel Mr. Matasar and Mr. Wax.)

12                   MR. WAX: Your Honor, I have a question about  
13 Timimi, which I think I should wait if we're going to go  
14 into classified session.

15                   THE COURT: Okay.

16 BY MR. WAX:

17           Q.       If I understood your grand jury testimony  
18 correctly, during 2004, you were in frequent contact  
19 with Evan Kohlmann?

20           A.       During 2004? Well, I would say prior to  
21 execution of the search warrant, yes, I had contacted  
22 Evan Kohlmann to learn a little bit about Chechnya. I  
23 hate to say it, but I didn't know much about Chechnya,  
24 even where it was at, so I contacted somebody that could  
25 inform me about the country, its background, how long

1 the fighting has been going on, that kind of thing, yes.

2 Q. How did you get steered to Evan Kohlmann as  
3 your source?

4 MR. GORDER: Objection, Your Honor, again.

5 THE COURT: Sustained.

6 BY MR. WAX:

7 Q. With respect to the time in 2004, after the  
8 execution of the search warrant, when you were getting  
9 information from Richard Smith and when you were working  
10 with Richard Smith, were you not in frequent contact  
11 with Mr. Kohlmann?

12 MR. GORDER: Objection, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

14 BY MR. WAX:

15 Q. When you were looking at the computer in 2004,  
16 do I understand correctly from your grand jury testimony  
17 that you would see things you wouldn't recognize or know  
18 who or what you were looking at, and you would then  
19 contact Mr. Kohlmann?

20 A. I don't --

21 MR. GORDER: Objection, Your Honor. Again, I  
22 don't see the relevance to the list of search terms.

23 THE COURT: Sustained.

24 MR. WAX: Well, that doesn't necessarily go to  
25 the list of search terms, Your Honor, but it does go to

1 the other issues in the case about which we inquired  
2 last July with respect to the general rummaging, and we  
3 did not have at that time the grand jury testimony or  
4 the other reports. So now that I have the grand jury  
5 testimony, I think that it -- I should be permitted to  
6 pursue that line of inquiry further.

7 THE COURT: I don't have any more. The  
8 objection is sustained.

9 MR. WAX: Then I have no further questions.

10 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross?

11 MR. GORDER: No, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: We will go into a classified  
13 session. We'll do it in the jury room. The court  
14 security officer will ensure that everyone is cleared.

15 MR. CARDANI: Very good, Your Honor.

16 Ms. Anderson has indicated she needs --

17 THE WITNESS: I believe I need to swear to my  
18 declaration.

19 MR. CARDANI: Yeah. There is something else  
20 that I would like to take care of, housekeeping.

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 MR. CARDANI: It's after the suppression  
23 hearings, they filed a document, CR 230. We responded  
24 in CR 242. And this is important to us because this has  
25 been an allegation that she presented false testimony to

1 you during the suppression hearing.

2 Special Agent Anderson prepared a new statement  
3 that is appended -- it is attached to CR 242. And I'd  
4 like to -- her to just adopt that. And if the court had  
5 any specific questions about that, we'd like to offer  
6 that as well.

7 MR. WAX: I object, Your Honor. If I'm not  
8 permitted to inquire about the search process, then I  
9 don't believe that she should be --

10 THE COURT: Could I have the document? (Brief  
11 pause.)

12 Well, I'm going to accept the declaration as  
13 evidence. You can cross-examine if you are -- on the  
14 issue of -- if you believe this witness submitted false  
15 testimony, you can cross-examine her about that, if  
16 that's the position you are taking.

17 MR. WAX: Can I cross-examine about paragraphs  
18 6 through 12?

19 THE COURT: You may cross-examine in an area  
20 that you believe indicates false testimony.

21 Christy, you may return that.

22 MR. WAX: Your Honor, the paragraphs 13 to the  
23 end deal with the question of the inconsistency in the  
24 timing.

25 Paragraphs 6 through 12, as I'm reading them,

1 deal with the subject matter that was gone into at the  
2 hearing, which was not an allegation of false testimony,  
3 but was a question of --

4 THE COURT: We have two separate matters.  
5 First, are you taking the position that the witness  
6 submitted false testimony?

7 MR. WAX: What we said in our pleading was  
8 there was inconsistency between the testimony and some  
9 documents, whether or not that's false --

10 THE COURT: You don't have any questions about  
11 that?

12 MR. WAX: I will ask a question or two about  
13 that, but I am not making an allegation of false  
14 testimony. We did not make an allegation of false  
15 testimony. We pointed out an inconsistency in a written  
16 document and oral testimony.

17 THE COURT: That's just argument, that's fine.  
18 And, Mr. Cardani, anything on these other  
19 paragraphs?

20 MR. CARDANI: We filed this in response to a  
21 post-hearing motion that they filed addressing some of  
22 the issues that they brought up in the motion. I tender  
23 that. If the court accepts that as her testimony and  
24 wants to allow cross-examination on that, I guess I  
25 don't have any objection, but I would urge the court if

1 it wants to hear this testimony to keep it constrained  
2 and to prevent this from becoming, you know -- I don't  
3 mean to besmirch Mr. Wax, but a free-for-all.

4 THE COURT: Yeah, you can go ahead.

5 MR. WAX: Thank you.

6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me, Your Honor. I think I  
7 left my declaration up there. I'd like to have them.

8 THE COURT: All right. Sure.

9 BY MR. WAX:

10 Q. All right. Ms. Anderson, in the declaration in  
11 paragraph 9, you state that later in 2004, Mr. Smith  
12 recovered some limited evidentiary data, numerous photos  
13 of Chechen war battle scenes. My question is this  
14 first: When do you recall that happening?

15 A. I believe the reason I referred to "later in  
16 2004" in my declaration was that I believe Mr. Smith  
17 probably has that in his report, which I don't have in  
18 front of me.

19 Q. You don't have a recollection of it then? We'd  
20 need to get that from him?

21 A. Well, I believe you have his report. I gave it  
22 to you in discovery.

23 Q. You don't have an independent recollection of  
24 when that occurred?

25 A. No, I do not.

1 Q. Okay. Do you recall what battle type scenes  
2 you saw when he provided those to you?

3 A. Specifically the ones I recall are the ones  
4 that I ended up using in the indictment, for the  
5 indictment during the grand jury, those are the only  
6 ones that I specifically recall.

7 Q. Do you recall him providing you with  
8 photographs that you could not identify? You couldn't  
9 determine was this Chechnya? Was this Iraq? Was this  
10 Afghanistan, or something else?

11 A. I do recall seeing some individuals that  
12 appeared to have been -- you know, obviously, military  
13 type of photo in which they are lined up, and they look  
14 like, you know, they were people of importance. So,  
15 yes, I do remember seeing some of those types of photos  
16 that I had questions about, yes.

17 Q. Questions because you didn't know who the  
18 people were or where they were from?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. With respect to photos of that nature, you sent  
21 some of those photos on to Evan Kohlmann?

22 A. I did. And it's in my grand jury testimony. I  
23 asked Mr. Kohlmann to identify what then became the  
24 leaders of the mujahideen.

25 Q. But the question is, you received photographs

1 from Smith?

2 A. Uh-huh.

3 Q. You didn't recognize who the people were in  
4 some of them, or where the photographs were taken,  
5 correct?

6 A. Correct. All I knew is that they were a  
7 military type of photo, but yet it didn't look like U.S.  
8 military or, you know, an actual country's military, so  
9 I had questions if this was the mujahideen.

10 Q. You then sent some of those photographs on to  
11 Evan Kohlmann and obtained identifications from him?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Thank you. Do you recall how many times you  
14 sent material on to Evan Kohlmann for similar types of  
15 identification?

16 MR. CARDANI: Judge, I'm going to object. I  
17 think we've covered this.

18 THE COURT: Yeah, all of this is long after the  
19 search warrant, Mr. Wax.

20 MR. WAX: Part of our complaint, Your Honor, is  
21 that the search of the computers was unlawful because it  
22 was not cabined either in time or scope. So I believe  
23 that this is directly relevant to one of the bases on  
24 which we're seeking suppression of the fruits of the  
25 searches of the computers.



1 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

2 BY MR. WAX:

3 Q. Now, Ms. Anderson, with respect to the last  
4 page of the declaration --

5 THE COURT: You mean page 4?

6 MR. WAX: Page 6.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 THE WITNESS: I don't have a page 6.

9 MR. WAX: In the document that was filed on  
10 December 11th, our copy has six pages.

11 THE COURT: Page 5 has a signature.

12 MR. CARDANI: I think they are talking about  
13 the attachment with the al-Haramain indicator on the  
14 bottom right.

15 MR. WAX: Yes, that's correct.

16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I didn't print that  
17 out.

18 MR. CARDANI: May I approach the witness, Your  
19 Honor?

20 THE COURT: Yes.

21 MR. CARDANI: Does the court's copy have an  
22 attachment?

23 THE COURT: Yeah, I have that.

24 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

25 BY MR. WAX:

1           Q.       Ms. Anderson, in the pleading that we filed on  
2       October 15th to which this declaration was in part  
3       responsive, we listed a long series of documents with a  
4       similar FPDUS number. First, can you tell the court  
5       what the FPDUS number means, if you know?

6           A.       I believe that that is the Bates numbering  
7       system that the scanning company in Portland used to  
8       scan the items.

9           Q.       So you recall that at some point there was a  
10      joint agreement between the prosecution and defense to  
11      take a large volume of material that was in the  
12      possession of the government and have it scanned for our  
13      mutual use, and that this numbering system was something  
14      that we agreed on jointly to keep track of the  
15      documents?

16          A.       I believe so.

17          Q.       And with respect to the pleading that we filed,  
18      your declaration indicates that you had an opportunity  
19      to look at it. Do you recall that we listed -- I don't  
20      recall how many -- but a long series of FPDUS numbers  
21      that appeared to have your signature on them or on the  
22      last page of them?

23          A.       Yes. I believe I saw that you had listed  
24      numerous FPDUS numbers on there.

25          Q.       And so that this particular document that's

1 attached to the declaration is just an example of many  
2 such documents that were in that set of materials?

3 A. Yes. The ones that I saw were almost all bank  
4 records. I'm not sure if there is any other types of  
5 records that were included in there. I don't know that  
6 I went through each and every one. But the ones that I  
7 saw and the one that's listed in my declaration is an  
8 example from one of the Bank of America statements,  
9 probably.

10 Q. Yes, okay. Now, in the fall of 2001, you  
11 are -- are you aware that a number of subpoenas were  
12 served on a number of different banking and perhaps  
13 other institutions seeking the production of records  
14 before a grand jury that had been convened to  
15 investigate al-Haramain and/or Mr. Sedaghaty?

16 MR. CARDANI: I object to the relevance of  
17 this, Judge.

18 THE COURT: Actually, I want to make sure I  
19 understand the question. Would you ask that again.

20 BY MR. WAX:

21 Q. Are you aware that in the fall of 2001, a  
22 series of subpoenas was issued at the behest of the  
23 grand jury that had been convened to investigate  
24 al-Haramain and/or Mr. Sedaghaty?

25 MR. CARDANI: Judge, my objection is this:

1 This has to do with an allegation that she presented  
2 false testimony by stating that she joined the  
3 investigation in early 2002, and then they take a bunch  
4 of documents that had already been subpoenaed as part of  
5 the FBI's investigation and alleging that because those  
6 were received in 2001, that she must have presented  
7 false testimony. This document was offered to show that  
8 that is not the case.

9 I don't understand what the questioning has to  
10 do with all these other subpoenas, whether it's relevant  
11 to refuting the allegation that she's presented false  
12 testimony.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

14 MR. WAX: I'm just trying to lay a foundation  
15 for how her signature gets on these, Judge, and what --  
16 I mean, you have the document in front of you. Right  
17 now I don't know that the record shows where this  
18 document came from and what it is.

19 MR. CARDANI: That's --

20 MR. WAX: And that's what I'm trying to  
21 establish.

22 MR. CARDANI: I have no objection if you ask  
23 that of her.

24 MR. WAX: That's what I'm trying to get to, but  
25 we need to--

1 THE COURT: Just ask the question.

2 BY MR. WAX:

3 Q. The document that is in front of you states on  
4 the top line of the printed section at the bottom "copy  
5 of records of al-Haramain," correct?

6 A. Uh-huh.

7 Q. What is that referring to?

8 A. The al-Haramain bank account.

9 Q. Records that were obtained by whom? From whom?  
10 In what way?

11 A. Well, if you look at the second line on the  
12 stamp, it says "copy furnished by B of A." So these  
13 particular records were subpoenaed from Bank of America.  
14 And there are copies of records from the al-Haramain  
15 bank account, and the date that they were received by  
16 the investigation is November 19th. And the special  
17 agent is myself, because I have possession of those  
18 documents now, and I am taking inventory.

19 Q. This document is a document used by the FBI?  
20 The IRS? Or by whom?

21 A. Well, this little thing here is actually just a  
22 little stamp, you know, you put it in the ink and you  
23 stamp it. And in -- I would say in the old days or a  
24 while back ago, it was customary, at least for me, and a  
25 lot of the agents, to stamp documents, to put down when

1 the date that they were received, and from whom they  
2 were received, so that was my custom back then to do  
3 that.

4           Nowadays, a lot of times I'll just run a  
5 memorandum saying I received these types of documents.  
6 But back then, I just used to stamp them and put the  
7 date that they were received.

8           Q.       It is not the business practice to stamp the  
9 documents when they are, in fact, received from the  
10 party from whom they've been subpoenaed?

11          A.       I guess it would depend on who is doing the  
12 stamping. I don't know what the FBI's business practice  
13 is. And, again, with the IRS, the way I do it may not  
14 be necessarily the way another agent does it. Like I  
15 said, nowadays if I were to get records, I will create a  
16 memorandum saying that I received the records from so-  
17 and-so on this day. So nowadays, I don't usually stamp,  
18 no.

19          Q.       Did you take any notes or produce any reports  
20 with respect to the work that you did in obtaining or  
21 reviewing records such as those that are listed in our  
22 pleading of October 15th?

23          A.       I think what you are asking me is when going  
24 through these, did I create a report saying that I'm  
25 going through these records?

1 Q. Did you create a report that says "I reviewed,"  
2 "I obtained from the FBI," "I obtained from the Bank of  
3 America," whatever it is, did you create any report or  
4 write any notes documenting when you actually took  
5 possession of or received the documents that are  
6 referred to in the pieces of paper such as page 6 of the  
7 declaration?

8 A. No, I don't make a notation when I join an  
9 investigation, I don't make a notation of when I  
10 received records from another agency, no. I mean, we're  
11 all part of the same investigation. At the point that I  
12 join the investigation, I am there to take over the  
13 financials, and I just say, "hey, can I have the  
14 financials?" I'm going to do an inventory. I'm going  
15 to find out what's missing. I'll contact the bank. Get  
16 whatever -- you know, that type of thing that's missing.  
17 Sometimes on the computer, I'll take notes as to the  
18 missing items, and then fax that to the bank and say,  
19 "okay, this is what's missing, may I please have it?"  
20 But those aren't really just notes. Just kind of my --

21 MR. WAX: I have nothing further.

22 MR. CARDANI: No questions.

23 THE COURT: You may step down.

24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

25 THE COURT: We'll take the classified portion

1 in the jury room. And, Scooter, if you can help us make  
2 sure we've got the right people in there.

3 (The open court proceedings were adjourned from  
4 10:57 until 11:13 a.m.)

5 MR. CARDANI: Judge, a couple of things.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. CARDANI: The computer search, when CDT  
8 came out, I stopped the investigation of the computers  
9 out of an abundance of caution, and that has to this day  
10 been the case.

11 Documents have been coming in now from the  
12 defense on exhibits that they plan on using from those  
13 very computers. We want to dig back into those  
14 computers and resume our search to verify that they came  
15 out of the computers and things of the like.

16 So we would like -- if the court is planning on  
17 issuing a ruling, we would like acquiescence from the  
18 court that we can resume that search. And we would ask  
19 that that be done sooner rather than later, if possible.

20 THE COURT: I don't consider that case  
21 retroactive. And you will get a ruling right away on  
22 these motions now.

23 MR. CARDANI: Thank you.

24 Defense expert reports, I understand from  
25 Mr. Wax and Mr. Matasar now they've named a number of



1 experts on their witness list. We don't have expert  
2 reports. And we would just ask that they be provided to  
3 us, again as soon as possible.

4 MR. WAX: As soon as we have them, they'll be  
5 provided.

6 MR. CARDANI: There is an issue that I want to  
7 flag for the court and I hope we can resolve this, but I  
8 want to bring it to your attention because it could be  
9 highly problematic if it can't be resolved. Several of  
10 our exhibits, starting with this -- the letters AHIF,  
11 al-Haramain, are documents that we obtained after  
12 serving a subpoena for the books and records of  
13 al-Haramain in Ashland. Colleen Anderson served it on  
14 some female employees of al-Haramain. Mr. Sedaghaty was  
15 out of the country. I then got a call from Mr. Matasar,  
16 who said he represented Mr. Sedaghaty and al-Haramain,  
17 and was going to handle return -- handle the subpoena as  
18 well. We did that. And we allowed Mr. Matasar to  
19 collect the records and present them to Colleen Anderson  
20 without having to appear before the grand jury and  
21 testify as to their authenticity and things of the like.  
22 It's a very customary procedure. It's a courtesy we  
23 extend to lawyers all the time.

24 We need to get those records into evidence.  
25 And so we don't want to make Mr. Matasar a witness in

1 any way, shape, or form, in this trial, but we need a  
2 stipulation from the defense that allows us to get those  
3 records authenticated in a way that comports with the  
4 rules of evidence.

5 So I've raised this with Mr. Matasar and  
6 Mr. Wax. We've proffered to them a stipulation that we  
7 need. And the conversation Mr. Wax and I were just  
8 having was that we need to meet, apparently, and there  
9 is a possibility we can work something out.

10 If we can't, we're going to need to be heard by  
11 the court to deal with this matter.

12 THE COURT: I'll bring you in quickly if we  
13 need to do it.

14 MR. CARDANI: The last matter that I had to  
15 raise with you is that we want to get an extension of  
16 time to file motions in limine and to object to each  
17 others' exhibits; is that correct?

18 MR. WAX: (Nodding head.)

19 MR. CARDANI: And the proposal is how long?

20 MR. WAX: Several weeks, I think. Yeah.

21 Judge, we see that the government's request for a  
22 stipulation on the AHIF records relates to a larger  
23 question of the admissibility of many of the other  
24 documents the government has included, and they may have  
25 issues with respect to some of the documents we

1 included. We thought that it might make more sense for  
2 us to see if we can work out something on at least some  
3 of the exhibits before filing motions in limine and see  
4 if we can pair it down. And we're thinking if we could  
5 try to get together perhaps the middle or the end of  
6 next week, get the motions to the court with the  
7 portions that are needed, perhaps two weeks from the  
8 5th, if that will be --

9 THE COURT: Mr. Baker, does that foul our  
10 schedule up?

11 (Discussion held off the record.)

12 MR. CARDANI: If it's okay with the court, what  
13 I'm understanding we're agreeing to is the extension of  
14 time to file objections to exhibits and for motions in  
15 limine to be filed, which is presently set for Monday,  
16 April 5th; and the proposal is to extend that to Monday,  
17 April 19th.

18 MR. WAX: If that's agreeable with the court.

19 THE COURT: Yes.

20 MR. CARDANI: And then the responses were due  
21 two weeks later. Will those be backed up by two weeks  
22 as well?

23 THE COURT: Yes.

24 MR. CARDANI: To May 3rd. And then our only  
25 issue is, of course, what the court just noted, and

1     that's the overall schedule in the case.

2             THE COURT:   That's what I'm concerned about.

3             MR. CARDANI:   This could hugely impact things  
4     like people coming over from Russia and the  
5     admissibility of a big chunk of the government's case,  
6     so we're concerned about the scheduling, but we'll  
7     accede to them.

8             (Discussion held off the record.)

9             MR. CARDANI:   Judge, what we just talked about  
10    is if we could say that we'll agree to a one-week  
11    turnaround on the exhibits -- I'm sorry, on these  
12    motions in limine and the objections.   So those were due  
13    on April 19th.

14            MR. WAX:   Turn around to the 26th.

15            MR. CARDANI:   Monday the 26th.

16            THE COURT:   Response by the 26th.

17            MR. WAX:   Could we do the 27th, Judge?   That  
18    will just give us one extra day, if that works in terms  
19    of -- does that work?

20            THE COURT:   We don't have the option of putting  
21    on extra staff like you do.

22            MR. MATASAR:   Then we can have the replies  
23    due --

24            THE COURT:   David, can we handle this or not?

25            MR. BAKER:   What is the hearing date?

1 THE COURT: The hearing date, I don't know.

2 MR. WAX: We'll file pleadings on the 19th, and  
3 then any -- what should be the last pleading on the --  
4 Tuesday, the 27th.

5 THE COURT: Do we have a hearing date for those  
6 motions?

7 MR. BAKER: We have set a hearing date.

8 MR. GORDER: Yes, Your Honor, the hearing date  
9 is May 10th.

10 THE COURT: That's fine.

11 MR. WAX: Thank you.

12 MR. CARDANI: Now, we've got another issue and  
13 that's the *Daubert*, all kinds of experts. And if we  
14 don't have expert reports, we can't prepare for a  
15 *Daubert* hearing. So all of our reports for the experts  
16 are in their possession. They've been there for a  
17 while. But we can't do our work unless we have the  
18 expert report.

19 MR. WAX: We are working with them as rapidly  
20 as we can. And we can probably get you -- sit down and  
21 give you some informal additional input today when we're  
22 done here, if you would like.

23 We're anticipating at this point, Judge, one  
24 *Daubert* challenge to the government's experts, and  
25 that's on Evan Kohlmann.

1           And in terms of the experts we've identified, I  
2           mean, we have a CPA, who's the forensic C -- well, we  
3           can go into that later. We don't need to take up your  
4           time.

5           THE COURT: If it looks like we're running into  
6           a time problem, let me know. Because if we have any  
7           hearings on *Daubert* issues, those are going to happen  
8           before the trial.

9           MR. WAX: Yeah.

10          MR. CARDANI: Which is on June 7th.

11          THE COURT: Uh-huh.

12          MR. WAX: That's the date we're putting on our  
13          subpoenas.

14          Judge, one other comment with respect to the  
15          Matasar-as-a-potential-witness issue. We have told the  
16          government that we will not be raising any chain of  
17          custody issues with respect to the documents that were  
18          provided in response to the subpoena.

19          The issue that we see is Mr. Matasar is not in  
20          a position to testify about authenticity or anything  
21          else that would render them relevant. So I just want to  
22          be clear that the government understands that it's not  
23          an issue about where they came from through Mr. Matasar.  
24          The question is under the evidence rules. And we have,  
25          I think, now covered everything that we needed to

1 bring -- no.

2 MR. MATASAR: There is two things. There is --

3 THE COURT: You have five minutes left,

4 Mr. Wax.

5 MR. MATASAR: We're well within the five

6 minutes.

7 MR. WAX: Are we going to have further  
8 testimony from Mr. Smith on the computer search issues?

9 THE COURT: You can give me a letter by  
10 tomorrow describing what you want to ask, and you can  
11 give me a response by Monday, your response to that. If  
12 we have further testimony, it will be next week.

13 MR. WAX: Thank you.

14 MR. MATASAR: I am a bad client, Your Honor, in  
15 the sense that I have told Mr. Cardani that I didn't  
16 want to deal that much myself with the issue of me being  
17 a witness, because I take it very seriously when one  
18 lawyer on one side asks the lawyer -- tells the lawyer  
19 on the other side that he or she may be a witness in a  
20 trial, but I see -- I'd like to get this somehow, if we  
21 could, just off the table.

22 A lawyer who provides records pursuant to a  
23 subpoena cannot be, as I see it, a witness to  
24 authenticate the records under the hearsay exception.  
25 So I'm just not sure why I -- why this is out there.

1 And it would ease my mind greatly and ease our  
2 preparation greatly, if somehow we could get some  
3 clarity sooner rather than later rather than leave it be  
4 hanging out that I may be a witness at the trial, which  
5 I really would prefer not to be, even if I could be, I  
6 don't know what that means about my role in the case,  
7 et cetera.

8 MR. CARDANI: No one wants to call Larry  
9 Matasar as a witness. He's not on our witness list.  
10 The problem is we've got to get exhibits in. And the  
11 ordinary way we would have done this if we knew it might  
12 be a problem is to have a custodian of record show up at  
13 the grand jury and be the authentication witness for  
14 trial. We gave a professional courtesy, as we always do  
15 to Mr. Matasar, to give us the records --

16 THE COURT: Either work out authenticity or  
17 designate the custodian, please.

18 MR. CARDANI: That's fine.

19 MR. WAX: We will be discussing those issues --

20 THE COURT: There is a third option, and it's  
21 not what Mr. Matasar wants, nor do I.

22 MR. WAX: I think, Judge, that the suggestion  
23 that we designate a custodian may be an impossibility.

24 THE COURT: You work on that.

25 MR. WAX: So that's why Mr. Cardani is looking



1 to Mr. Matasar because it's the government that has the  
2 problem here, and they are attempting to solve their  
3 problem through Mr. Matasar, which we think is  
4 inappropriate.

5 MR. MATASAR: Even if I weren't a lawyer, how  
6 can I authenticate that business records were kept in  
7 the ordinary course of business three years before I  
8 knew anything about the case? I don't think I could be  
9 a witness.

10 THE COURT: You're just lucky you can argue  
11 about it. When Mr. Ransom subpoenaed me years ago,  
12 asked why he couldn't find any search warrants in the  
13 clerk's office that I had not issued, and there was a  
14 reason for that, of course, I say "it's not good enough,  
15 take it away." We're in recess.

16 (The proceedings were concluded at 11:26 a.m.)  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 1 CERTIFICATE

2 I, Deborah Wilhelm, Certified Shorthand Reporter  
3 for the State of Oregon, do hereby certify that I was  
4 present at and reported in machine shorthand the oral  
5 proceedings had in the above-entitled matter. I hereby  
6 certify that the foregoing is a true and correct  
7 transcript, to the best of my skill and ability, dated  
8 this 1st day of April, 2010.

9  
10  
11  
12 /s/ Deborah Wilhelm

13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 Deborah Wilhelm, RPR  
15 Certified Shorthand Reporter  
16 Certificate No. 00-0363  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25